

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. Contract ID Code
Firm-Fixed-Price

Page 1 Of 6

2. Amendment/Modification No. 0001	3. Effective Date 2010SEP28	4. Requisition/Purchase Req No. SEE SCHEDULE	5. Project No. (If applicable)
---------------------------------------	--------------------------------	---	--------------------------------

6. Issued By U.S. ARMY CONTRACTING COMMAND CCTA-AHP-C RISING ORIS (586)282-9483 WARREN, MICHIGAN 48397-5000 HTTP://CONTRACTING.TACOM.ARMY.MIL EMAIL: RISING.ORIS@US.ARMY.MIL	Code W56HZV	7. Administered By (If other than Item 6)	Code
--	----------------	---	------

8. Name And Address Of Contractor (No., Street, City, County, State and Zip Code)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9A. Amendment Of Solicitation No. W56HZV-10-R-0281
		9B. Dated (See Item 11) 2010AUG25
	<input type="checkbox"/>	10A. Modification Of Contract/Order No.
		10B. Dated (See Item 13)

Code Facility Code

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers

is extended, is not extended. 2010OCT21 03:00pm

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods:
(a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 2 signed copies of the amendments; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. **FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER.** If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. Accounting And Appropriation Data (If required)

**13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS
It Modifies The Contract/Order No. As Described In Item 14.**

<input type="checkbox"/>	A. This Change Order is Issued Pursuant To: The Contract/Order No. In Item 10A.	The Changes Set Forth In Item 14 Are Made In
<input type="checkbox"/>	B. The Above Numbered Contract/Order Is Modified To Reflect The Administrative Changes (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) Set Forth In Item 14, Pursuant To The Authority of FAR 43.103(b).	
<input type="checkbox"/>	C. This Supplemental Agreement Is Entered Into Pursuant To Authority Of:	
<input type="checkbox"/>	D. Other (Specify type of modification and authority)	

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the Issuing Office.

14. Description Of Amendment/Modification (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

SEE SECOND PAGE FOR DESCRIPTION

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. Name And Title Of Signer (Type or print)		16A. Name And Title Of Contracting Officer (Type or print)	
15B. Contractor/Offeror (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. Date Signed	16B. United States Of America By _____ /SIGNED/ (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. Date Signed

CONTINUATION SHEET	Reference No. of Document Being Continued	Page 2 of 6
	PIIN/SIIN W56HZV-10-R-0281	MOD/AMD 0001

Name of Offeror or Contractor:

SECTION A - SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

1. The purpose of this Amendment is to do the following:
2. Address the following engineering questions regarding the solicitation of subject M915A3 Armor Transparent Glass:
3. QUESTIONS/ANSWERS #01 - M915A3 ARMOR TRANSPARENT GLASS:

Q1. For the windows with threat level 1C, are the ballistic requirements both KE and FSP rounds (per ATPD 2352) or KE only?

Answer/Engineering Response: Both KE and FSP

Q2. The RFQ calls out 3 samples for FAT test, however ATPD 2352 rev N specifies 7 samples for ballistic FAT and 3 samples per test for environmental tests. While the environmental tests can be completed in 3 samples, this would lengthen the amount of time to conduct the tests due to the fact that they would have to be run sequentially. Multiple groups of 3 allow for tests to be conducted concurrently, expediting the overall FAT test completion.

Answer/Engineering Response:

Please use ATPD 2352 Rev R (not rev N) Per ATPD 2352 rev R: - 2 FAT samples for non-ballistic test (quantity may be increased to conduct test non-sequentially). - Table IV, page 11, ballistic FAT Ambient requires 29 coupons plus 5 spares (34 total)

Q3. Is 3 FAT samples correct? Or should this actually be a minimum of 10 (7 ballistic and 3 environmental) with option for more for expedited environmental testing?

Answer/Engineering Response: No, not correct, per ATPD 2352 rev R: - 2 FAT samples for non-ballistic test (quantity may be increased to conduct test non-sequentially). - Table IV, page 11, ballistic FAT Ambient requires 29 coupons plus 5 spares (34 total)

Q4. The RFQ calls for only 2 part numbers to be First Article Tested is that Correct?

Answer/Engineering Response: No, all (7) items require FAT.

4. QUESTIONS/ANSWERS #02 - M915A3 ARMOR TRANSPARENT GLASS

ENGINEERING RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS REGARDING PART NUMBER 12505109 - M915 WINDSHIELD:

Q* The BOM listing in the lower left corner contains QTY and description but no part numbers. Are these part numbers available?

Answer/Engineering Response: No

Q.* SAE J403 is called out as material for the frame. Is there a preferred alloy to be used or can the vendors utilize any alloy available in that specification?

Answer/Engineering Response: "SAE 15B36" per note 6

Q* The windshield does not indicate any de-icing capabilities. Is the de-icing capability required?

Engineering Response: No

Q* The thickness of the TA is specified as 1.75". Assuming that the ballistic performance level is reached, can the window be thinner or thicker, beyond the tolerance specified? If the location of the strike face needs to remain constant in relation to the frame, can the thickness of the back layer be adjusted?

Answer/Engineering Response: The TA thickness should meet 1.75", it cannot be thicker, if a thinner piece is capable of meeting the ballastic requirements then an Request for Deviation (RFD) can be submitted for this to be reviewed for approval.

Q* Clarification on silk screening is needed: The silk screening line is dimensioned 2.75" and 2" away from the edges. However, the silk screening itself is not to exceed 1". Is the 1" dimension supposed to extend from the dashed line towards the edge of the part?

Answer/Engineering Response: The 1" diminsion is not shown on drawing 12505109. The silk screening is to frame around outside edges

CONTINUATION SHEET	Reference No. of Document Being Continued	Page 3 of 6
	PIIN/SIIN W56HZV-10-R-0281	MOD/AMD 0001

Name of Offeror or Contractor:

2.75" and 2" wide.

Q* Clarification is needed in regards to armor sealer: is there a preferred seal material or is it up to the vendor? Are either wet or dry sealants permitted?

Answer/Engineering Response: No, the type/brand of armor sealer is to be selected by the vendor and the material shall be appropriate for the application (proper strength and environmental resistance)

Q* Clarification is needed on testing data: ATPD ballistic testing involves a different method for support of the ballistic test samples, than the actual windshield requirements. The samples are clamped in fixtures that support the back of the test sample. Confirmation is needed that the testing is valid - in other words, is the back of the windshield panel supported on other vehicle components?

Answer/Engineering Response: ATPD 2352 was created specifically for application on vehicle transparent armor. 1250112 - M915 Glass Front Door Ass'y

Q* The BOM listing in the lower left corner contains QTY and description but no part numbers. Are these part numbers available?

Answer/Engineering Response: No

Q* Are there preferred vendors for hardware components indicated on p2?

Answer/Engineering Response: No

Q* The material for 1" Wide Black Weather Strip Backplane is not called out. What is the material for that part?

Answer/Engineering Response: Material not specified, to be selected by vendor, shall be appropriate for application.

Q* What is the preferred material indicated for the washer?

Answer/Engineering Response: Per drawing it is Plain (unfinished) steel, all components of the latch mechanism are to be painted black per note 10.

Q* What durometer shall the 1/2" Pile Black Weather strip be?

Answer/Engineering Response: Durometer not specified, to be selected by vendor, shall be appropriate for the application.

Q* Are both weather strips retained with frictional fit only? Or, is some other method of attachment necessary?

Answer/Engineering Response: Per Note 13: "Adhere with structural adhesive"

Q* Is the choice(s) for structural adhesive up to the vendor?

Answer/Engineering Response: Material not specified, to be selected by vendor, shall be appropriate for application.

Q* Clarification on silk screening is needed: The silk screening line is not defined. Is the 1" max dimension for silk screening extending from the edge of the part?

Answer/Engineering Response: Yes (per note 4), shown as phantom line on drawing (page 1)

Q* Clarification is needed in regards to armor sealer: is there a preferred seal material or is it up to the vendor? Are either wet or dry sealants permitted?

Answer/Engineering Response: No, the type/brand of armor sealer is to be selected by the vendor and the material shall be appropriate for the application (proper strength and environmental resistance)

Q* Is the color or silk screening covering the latch to be the same as the silk screening on the TA?

Answer/Engineering Response: All silk screening should be black in color.

12505107

Q* The BOM listing in the lower left corner contains QTY and description but no part numbers. Are these part numbers available?

Name of Offeror or Contractor:

Answer/Engineering Response: No

Q* SAE J403 is called out as the material for the frame. Is there a preferred alloy to be used or can the vendors utilize any alloy available in that specification?

Answer/Engineering Response: "SAE 15B36" per note 6

Q* There are tolerances called out on this drawing. Can we assume the same tolerances as on the other drawings?

Answer/Engineering Response: Yes, assume +/- .03 (2 place) and +/- .015 (3 place)

Q* Clarification on silk screening is needed: The silk screening line is not defined. Is the 1" max dimension for silk screening extending from the edge of the part?

Answer/Engineering Response: Yes (per note 4), shown as phantom line on drawing (page 2)

Q* Clarification is needed in regards to armor sealer: is there a preferred seal material or is it up to the vendor? Are either wet or dry sealants permitted?

Answer/Engineering Response: No, the type/brand of armor sealer is to be selected by the vendor and the material shall be appropriate for the application (proper strength and environmental resistance)

Q* Clarification is needed on testing data: ATPD ballistic testing involves a different method for support of the ballistic test samples, than the actual windshield. The samples are clamped in fixtures that support the back of the test sample. Confirmation is needed that the testing is valid - in other words, is the back of the windshield panel supported on other vehicle components?

Answer/Engineering Response: ATPD 2352 was created specifically for application on vehicle transparent armor.

12505108

Q* The BOM listing in the lower left corner contains QTY and description but no part numbers. Are these part numbers available?

Answer/Engineering Response: No

Q* Is 4130 alloy acceptable where SEA J404 material is referenced? Or, is another alloy preferable?

Answer/Engineering Response: Yes, SAE 4130 per SAE J404.

Q* ITEM 3 indicates Kevlar board of 0.12" thickness. Are there preferred forming conditions for the board? Are there any ballistic requirement for the door frame with attached kevlar?

Answer/Engineering Response: DuPont Kevlar type "K29". Ballistic testing for door is not required by drawing (note, this is being reassessed)

Q* Clarification is needed in regards to armor sealer: is there a preferred seal material or is it up to the vendor? Are either wet or dry sealants permitted?

Answer/Engineering Response: No, the type/brand of armor sealer is to be selected by the vendor and the material shall be appropriate for the application (proper strength and environmental resistance)

Q* Clarification is needed on testing data: ATPD ballistic testing involves a different method for support of the ballistic test samples, than the actual windshield. The samples are clamped in fixtures that support the back of the test sample. Confirmation is needed that the testing is valid - in other words, is the back of the wind shield panel supported on other vehicle components?

Answer/Engineering Response: ATPD 2352 was created specifically for application on vehicle transparent armor.

Q.1. It seems that we are missing:

Windshield in 2B version

Front Door in 1C version.

CONTINUATION SHEET**Reference No. of Document Being Continued****Page 5 of 6**

PIIN/SIIN W56HZV-10-R-0281

MOD/AMD 0001

Name of Offeror or Contractor:

Can we get this information?

Answer/Engineering Response:

12505109/12505111/12505108 (LH/RH Windshield and Rear Window) are "1C" 12505107/12505105/12505114/12505112 (Door Glass) are "2B" Latest revision level drawings are available.

Q.2. The drawings indicate ATPD 2352 compliance for Transparent Armor. The most current version is R. However, version N was provided with each disk.

Traditionally we're supposed to use the most recent version. In this case, R, please confirm.

Answer/Engineering Response: Yes, ATPD Rev R should be used (not rev N)

Q.3. Are there only 4 drawings in the package of 7 discs?

Answer/Engineering Response: Yes, there are only 4 drawings in the TDP

Q.4. Do you have to submit FAT data with the bid on optical, environmental, and ballistic?

Answer/Engineering Response: See how the solicitation is written as to when the FAT is deliverable. ATPD 2352 was written to allow the Contractor to prequalify their ballistic transparent armor.

Q.5. Do you have to perform form, and fit as well?

Answer/Engineering Response: A complete dimensional inspection is to be performed.

PLEASE NOTE:

- a.) ATPD 2352 Rev R is to be used (not Rev N)
 - b.) 3D CAD models are available for all 7 items.
 - c.) The latest drawing revisions reclassified the windshield (12505109/12505111) and rear window (12505108) as "1C" (was 1B). The door glass (12505107/12505105/12505115/12505112) are still classified "2B".
5. As a result of this action, the solicitation due date is hereby extended from 9/30/2010 through 10/21/2010
 6. The deadline for receipt of this Amendment 0001/Solicitation is hereby extended through October 21, 2010 at 3:00 PM Eastern Daylight Time.
 2. Send your Amendment/Solicitation response to Email Address specified on page 2, paragraph (c) of the solicitation <http://contracting.tacom.army.mil/acqinfo/ebidnotice.htm>
 3. Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the basic/original solicitation remain unchanged.

*** END OF NARRATIVE A0001 ***

CONTINUATION SHEET

Reference No. of Document Being Continued

Page 6 of 6

PIIN/SIIN W56HZV-10-R-0281

MOD/AMD 0001

Name of Offeror or Contractor:

SECTION J - LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

<u>List of</u> <u>Addenda</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Number</u> <u>of Pages</u>	<u>Transmitted By</u>
Attachment 0004	ANSWERS TO M915 ARMOR GLASS QUESTIONS		004	DATA

QUESTIONS/ANSWERS #01 - M915A3 ARMOR TRANSPARENT GLASS:

Q1. For the windows with threat level 1C, are the ballistic requirements both KE and FSP rounds (per ATPD 2352) or KE only?

Answer/Engineering Response: Both KE and FSP

Q2. The RFQ calls out 3 samples for FAT test, however ATPD 2352 rev N specifies 7 samples for ballistic FAT and 3 samples per test for environmental tests. While the environmental tests can be completed in 3 samples, this would lengthen the amount of time to conduct the tests due to the fact that they would have to be run sequentially. Multiple groups of 3 allow for tests to be conducted concurrently, expediting the overall FAT test completion.

Answer/Engineering Response:

Please use ATPD 2352 Rev R (not rev N) Per ATPD 2352 rev R: - 2 FAT samples for non-ballistic test (quantity may be increased to conduct test non-sequentially). - Table IV, page 11, ballistic FAT Ambient requires 29 coupons plus 5 spares (34 total)

Q3. Is 3 FAT samples correct? Or should this actually be a minimum of 10 (7 ballistic and 3 environmental) with option for more for expedited environmental testing?

Answer/Engineering Response: No, not correct, per ATPD 2352 rev R: - 2 FAT samples for non-ballistic test (quantity may be increased to conduct test non-sequentially). - Table IV, page 11, ballistic FAT Ambient requires 29 coupons plus 5 spares (34 total)

Q4. The RFQ calls for only 2 part numbers to be First Article Tested is that Correct?

Answer/Engineering Response: No, all (7) items require FAT.

QUESTIONS/ANSWERS #02 - M915A3 ARMOR TRANSPARENT GLASS

ENGINEERING RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS REGARDING PART NUMBER 12505109 - M915 WINDSHIELD:

Q* The BOM listing in the lower left corner contains QTY and description but no part numbers. Are these part numbers available?

Answer/Engineering Response: No

Q.* SAE J403 is called out as material for the frame. Is there a preferred alloy to be used or can the vendors utilize any alloy available in that specification?

Answer/Engineering Response: "SAE 15B36" per note 6

Q* The windshield does not indicate any de-icing capabilities. Is the de-icing capability required?

Engineering Response: No

Q* The thickness of the TA is specified as 1.75". Assuming that the ballistic performance level is reached, can the window be thinner or thicker, beyond the tolerance specified? If the location of the strike face needs to remain constant in relation to the frame, can the thickness of the back layer be adjusted?

Answer/Engineering Response: The TA thickness should meet 1.75", it cannot be thicker, if a thinner piece is capable of meeting the ballastic requirements then an Request for Deviation (RFD) can be submitted for this to be reviewed for approval.

Q* Clarification on silk screening is needed: The silk screening line is dimensioned 2.75" and 2" away from the edges. However, the silk screening itself is not to exceed 1". Is the 1" dimension supposed to extend from the dashed line towards the edge of the part?

Answer/Engineering Response: The 1" diminsion is not shown on drawing 12505109. The silk screening is to frame around outside edges 2.75" and 2" wide.

Q* Clarification is needed in regards to armor sealer: is there a preferred seal material or is it up to the vendor? Are either wet or dry sealants permitted?

Answer/Engineering Response: No, the type/brand of armor sealer is to be selected by the vendor and the material shall be appropriate for the application (proper strength and environmental resistance)

Q* Clarification is needed on testing data: ATPD ballistic testing involves a different method for support of the ballistic test samples, than the actual windshield requirements. The samples are clamped in fixtures that support the back of the test sample. Confirmation is needed that the testing is valid - in other words, is the back of the windshield panel supported on other vehicle components?

Answer/Engineering Response: ATPD 2352 was created specifically for application on vehicle transparent armor. 1250112 - M915 Glass Front Door Ass'y

Q* The BOM listing in the lower left corner contains QTY and description but no part numbers. Are these part numbers available?

Answer/Engineering Response: No

Q* Are there preferred vendors for hardware components indicated on p2?

Answer/Engineering Response: No

Q* The material for 1" Wide Black Weather Strip Backplane is not called out. What is the material for that part?

Answer/Engineering Response: Material not specified, to be selected by vendor, shall be appropriate for application.

Q* What is the preferred material indicated for the washer?

Answer/Engineering Response: Per drawing it is Plain (unfinished) steel, all components of the latch mechanism are to be painted black per note 10.

Q* What durometer shall the 1/2" Pile Black Weather strip be?

Answer/Engineering Response: Durometer not specified, to be selected by vendor, shall be appropriate for the application.

Q* Are both weather strips retained with frictional fit only? Or, is some other method of attachment necessary?

Answer/Engineering Response: Per Note 13: "Adhere with structural adhesive"

Q* Is the choice(s) for structural adhesive up to the vendor?

Answer/Engineering Response: Material not specified, to be selected by vendor, shall be appropriate for application.

Q* Clarification on silk screening is needed: The silk screening line is not defined. Is the 1" max dimension for silk screening extending from the edge of the part?

Answer/Engineering Response: Yes (per note 4), shown as phantom line on drawing (page 1)

Q* Clarification is needed in regards to armor sealer: is there a preferred seal material or is it up to the vendor? Are either wet or dry sealants permitted?

Answer/Engineering Response: No, the type/brand of armor sealer is to be selected by the vendor and the material shall be appropriate for the application (proper strength and environmental resistance)

Q* Is the color or silk screening covering the latch to be the same as the silk screening on the TA?

Answer/Engineering Response: All silk screening should be black in color.

Q* The BOM listing in the lower left corner contains QTY and description but no part numbers. Are these part numbers available?

Answer/Engineering Response: No

Q* SAE J403 is called out as the material for the frame. Is there a preferred alloy to be used or can the vendors utilize any alloy available in that specification?

Answer/Engineering Response: "SAE 15B36" per note 6

Q* There are tolerances called out on this drawing. Can we assume the same tolerances as on the other drawings?

Answer/Engineering Response: Yes, assume +/- .03 (2 place) and +/- .015 (3 place)

Q* Clarification on silk screening is needed: The silk screening line is not defined. Is the 1" max dimension for silk screening extending from the edge of the part?

Answer/Engineering Response: Yes (per note 4), shown as phantom line on drawing (page 2)

Q* Clarification is needed in regards to armor sealer: is there a preferred seal material or is it up to the vendor? Are either wet or dry sealants permitted?

Answer/Engineering Response: No, the type/brand of armor sealer is to be selected by the vendor and the material shall be appropriate for the application (proper strength and environmental resistance)

Q* Clarification is needed on testing data: ATPD ballistic testing involves a different method for support of the ballistic test samples, than the actual windshield. The samples are clamped in fixtures that support the back of the test sample. Confirmation is needed that the testing is valid - in other words, is the back of the windshield panel supported on other vehicle components?

Answer/Engineering Response: ATPD 2352 was created specifically for application on vehicle transparent armor.

12505108

Q* The BOM listing in the lower left corner contains QTY and description but no part numbers. Are these part numbers available?

Answer/Engineering Response: No

Q* Is 4130 alloy acceptable where SEA J404 material is referenced? Or, is another alloy preferable?

Answer/Engineering Response: Yes, SAE 4130 per SAE J404.

Q* ITEM 3 indicates Kevlar board of 0.12" thickness. Are there preferred forming conditions for the board? Are there any ballistic requirement for the door frame with attached kevlar?

Answer/Engineering Response: DuPont Kevlar type "K29". Ballistic testing for door is not required by drawing (note, this is being reassessed)

Q* Clarification is needed in regards to armor sealer: is there a preferred seal material or is it up to the vendor? Are either wet or dry sealants permitted?

Answer/Engineering Response: No, the type/brand of armor sealer is to be selected by the vendor and the material shall be appropriate for the application (proper strength and environmental resistance)

Q* Clarification is needed on testing data: ATPD ballistic testing involves a different method for support of the ballistic test samples, than the actual windshield. The samples are clamped in fixtures that support the back of the test sample. Confirmation is needed that the testing is valid -

in other words, is the back of the wind shield panel supported on other vehicle components?

Answer/Engineering Response: ATPD 2352 was created specifically for application on vehicle transparent armor.

Q. 1. It seems that we are missing:

Windshield in 2B version

Front Door in 1C version.

Can we get this information?

Answer/Engineering Response:

12505109/12505111/12505108 (LH/RH Windshield and Rear Window) are "1C" 12505107/12505105/12505114/12505112 (Door Glass) are "2B" Latest revision level drawings are available.

Q. 2. The drawings indicate ATPD 2352 compliance for Transparent Armor. The most current version is R. However, version N was provided with each disk.

Traditionally we're supposed to use the most recent version. In this case, R, please confirm.

Answer/Engineering Response: Yes, ATPD Rev R should be used (not rev N)

Q.2. The drawings indicate ATPD 2352 compliance for Transparent Armor. The most current version is R. However, version N was provided with each disk.

Traditionally we're supposed to use the most recent version. In this case, R, please confirm.

Answer/Engineering Response: Yes, ATPD Rev R should be used (not rev N)

Q.3. Are there only 4 drawings in the package of 7 discs?

Answer/Engineering Response: Yes, there are only 4 drawings in the TDP

Q.4. Do you have to submit FAT data with the bid on optical, environmental, and ballistic?

Answer/Engineering Response: See how the solicitation is written as to when the FAT is deliverable. ATPD 2352 was written to allow the Contractor to prequalify their ballistic transparent armor.

Q.5. Do you have to perform form, and fit as well?

Answer/Engineering Response: A complete dimensional inspection is to be performed.

PLEASE NOTE:

- a.) ATPD 2352 Rev R is to be used (not Rev N)
- b.) 3D CAD models are available for all 7 items.
- c.) The latest drawing revisions reclassified the windshield (12505109/12505111) and rear window (12505108) as "1C" (was 1B). The door glass (12505107/12505105/12505115/12505112) are still classified "2B".